Date: Wed, 14 Jul 93 04:30:12 PDT

From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>

Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu

Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu

Precedence: Bulk

Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #227

To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Wed, 14 Jul 93 Volume 93 : Issue 227

Today's Topics:

Give a VE \$5.60, walk out with a ham license... (2 msgs)
The Canonical list of Code-Wars Answers (2 msgs)

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu> Send subscription requests to: <ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu> Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available (by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Tue, 13 Jul 1993 20:10:30 GMT

From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!overload.lbl.gov!agate!headwall.Stanford.EDU!Csli!

paulf@network.UCSD.EDU

Subject: Give a VE \$5.60, walk out with a ham license...

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In <1709@arrl.org> jbloom@arrl.org (Jon Bloom, KE3Z) writes:
>Ummm... in the US, this is already perfectly doable *without* the person
>first passing the exam. Note that Niall said the requirement includes
>supervision by the licensed operator. In the US, a licensed operator
>can supervise an unlicensed person's operation of an amateur station per
>97.115(b).

Well, no need to follow the example sheepishly. The idea is that someone is willing to sign off on your competence as an operator, and doesn't need to be physically present. Some sort of rider attached to the call could be used, like NN1ABC/NT or some such.

- -

⁻⁼Paul Flaherty, N9FZX | "The National Anthem has become The Whine."

^{-&}gt;paulf@Stanford.EDU | -- Charles Sykes, _A Nation of Victims_

Date: Tue, 13 Jul 1993 20:05:12 GMT

From: agate!headwall.Stanford.EDU!Csli!paulf@ames.arpa Subject: Give a VE \$5.60, walk out with a ham license...

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In <XTNL7B2w165w@GRAFex.Cupertino.CA.US> ka6etb@GRAFex.Cupertino.CA.US (KA6ETB
Steve Harding) writes:

>How does this differ from a control operator allowing someone at the xmtr? >As I interpret the rules, I can allow anyone to use my xmtr, as long as I >have control. I DO know where the off switch is.

Under the current rules, the control operator must be physically present at the control point. Under this sort of system, the "control op" merely signs off that you're competent enough to do the job yourself.

--Paul Flaherty, N9FZX | "The National Anthem has become The Whine."
->paulf@Stanford.EDU | -- Charles Sykes, _A Nation of Victims_

Date: 13 Jul 93 21:56:48 GMT

From: ogicse!uwm.edu!ux1.cso.uiuc.edu!newsrelay.iastate.edu!news.iastate.edu!

wjturner@network.UCSD.EDU

Subject: The Canonical list of Code-Wars Answers

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <930713.020025.7W9.rusnews.w165w@garlic.sbs.com> system@garlic.sbs.com (Tony Pelliccio) writes:

>brian@amdcl2.amd.com (Brian McMinn N5PSS) writes:

>> 30) Hams who started with No-Code now represent 98% of all hams!

>Could be, but lots of them upgraded because we didn't like our N#XXX >calls. :)

What about us who upgraded and kept our N#XXX calls?

Will Turner, NORDV

wjturner@iastate.edu | "Are you going to have any professionalism, |
twp77@isuvax.iastate.edu | or am I going to have to beat it into you?" |
TURNERW@vaxld.ameslab.gov

Date: 13 Jul 93 23:00:12 GMT

From: ogicse!news.tek.com!tekig7!gaulandm@network.UCSD.EDU

Subject: The Canonical list of Code-Wars Answers

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <CA27HI.7t5@amdcl2> brian@amdcl2.amd.com (Brian McMinn N5PSS) writes:
>33) Yeah? And your mother wears tennis shoes!

I believe the correct, modern, version is "...and you Senator wears tennis shoes!"

- -

Michael A. Gauland gaulandm@tekig7.PEN.TEK.COM AA7JF (503) 627-5067

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #227 ************